# Accreditation Quality Report





Version: 3 Date: 3/18/2011



Welcome to the Joint Commission's Quality Report. We know how important reliable information is to you and your family when making health care decisions. This Quality Report will help you make the right decisions to meet your needs. Since 1951, Joint Commission has been the national leader in setting standards for health care organizations. When a health care organization seeks accreditation, it demonstrates commitment to giving safe, high quality health care and to continually working to improve that care.

The Quality Report is only one way to determine whether a health care organization can meet your needs. Discuss this report with your doctor or with other professional acquaintances before making a care decision. In addition to the accreditation status of the organization, the Quality Report uses checks, pluses, and minuses in the key area of National Patient Safety Goals - safety guidelines that target the prevention of medical errors such as surgery on the wrong side of the body and safe medication use.

Not all measures are relevant to or available for all types of health care organizations. The Joint Commission will add relevant measures of health care quality as more measures become available. Your comments are just as important to us. The content and format of the Quality Report will be updated from time to time based on changes in the health care industry and your suggestions. Please call Customer Service at 630-792-5800 or e-mail the Joint Commission at qualityreport@jointcommission.org with your comments and suggestions.

Mark R. Chassin, MD, MPP, MPH President of the Joint Commission











## **Summary of Quality Information**

#### **Accreditation Decision**

**Decision Effective Date** 

Accredited

January 31, 2008

## Accredited Programs Last Full Survey Date Critical Access Hospital Last Full Survey Date 1/30/2008 1/30/2008

#### Accreditation programs recognized by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

Critical Access Hospital

#### **Special Quality Awards**

2010 Silver Get With The Guidelines - Heart Failure

		er Joint Commission Accredited Organizations
	Nationwide	Statewide
2008National Patient Safety Goals	Ø	<b>@</b> *
National Quality Improvement Goals:		
Heart Attack Care	<b>№</b> 3	(ND) 3
Heart Failure Care	<b>№</b> 3	<b>№</b> 3
Pneumonia Care	<b>⊕</b>	<b>⊕</b>
Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP)		
SCIP - Cardiac SCIP - Infection Prevention For All Reported Procedures:	<b>⊕</b>	<b>(</b>
Colon/Large Intestine Surgery	Ø	Ø
Hip Joint Replacement	<b>⊚</b> 3	<b>©</b> 3
Hysterectomy	Ø	Ø
Knee Replacement	•	•
SCIP – Venous Thromboembolism (VTE)		
	National Quality Improvement Goals:  Heart Attack Care  Heart Failure Care  Pneumonia Care  Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP)  SCIP - Cardiac SCIP - Infection Prevention For All Reported Procedures:  Colon/Large Intestine Surgery  Hip Joint Replacement  Hysterectomy  Knee Replacement	Nationwide  2008National Patient Safety Goals  National Quality Improvement Goals:  Heart Attack Care  Heart Failure Care  Pneumonia Care  Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP)  SCIP - Cardiac SCIP - Infection Prevention For All Reported Procedures:  Colon/Large Intestine Surgery  Hip Joint Replacement  Hysterectomy  Knee Replacement  SCIP - Venous Thromboembolism (VTE)

Hospitals voluntarily participate in the Survey of Patients' Hospital Experiences (HCAHPS). Pediatric and psychiatric hospitals are not eligible to participate in the HCAHPS survey based on their patient population.

The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.

### Symbol Key

- This organization achieved the best possible results.
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- This Measure is not applicable for this organization.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- 6. The Measure results are not statistically
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- 8. The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.







## **Locations of Care**

* Primary Location	
Locations of Care	Available Services
Fairview Hospital * 29 Lewis Avenue Great Barrington, MA 01230	<ul> <li>Acute Care</li> <li>Cardiac Unit/Cardiology (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>CT Scanner (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>EEG/EKG/EMG Lab (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Emergency Room (Outpatient)</li> <li>General Medical Services (Inpatient)</li> <li>General Surgery (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>General Surgery (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Gi or Endoscopy Lab (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Gynecology (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Imaging/Radiology (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Infusion Therapy (Outpatient)</li> <li>Intensive Care Unit (Inpatient)</li> <li>Intensive Care Unit (Inpatient)</li> <li>Labor &amp; Delivery (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Nuclear Medicine (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Nursery (Inpatient)</li> <li>Nursery (Inpatient)</li> <li>Obstetrics (Inpatient, Outpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Ophthalmology/Eye Surgery (Outpatient)</li> <li>Ophthalmology/Eye Surgery (Outpatient)</li> <li>Outpatient)</li> <li>Pain Management (Outpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Pediatric Care (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Pediatric Care (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Post Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU) (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Respiratory Care (Ventilator) (Inpatient)</li> <li>Ultrasound (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Ultrasound (Inpatient, Outpatient)</li> <li>Urgent Care/Emergency Medicine (Outpatient)</li> </ul>
Fairview Hospital Dialysis Center 10 Maple Ave Great Barrington, MA 01230	<ul> <li>End Stage Renal Disease (Outpatient)</li> <li>General Outpatient Services (Outpatient)</li> </ul>
Fairview Hospital Outpatient Physical Therapy Rehabilitation 10 Maple Ave. Great Barrington, MA 01230	General Outpatient Services (Outpatient)
Fairview Hospital's Aquatic Therapy Program Berkshire South 15 Chrissy Road Great Barrington, MA 01230	General Outpatient Services (Outpatient)
Fairview Physical & Sports Therapy 710 Stockbridge Road Suite 2 Lee, MA 01238	General Outpatient Services (Outpatient)







## **2008 National Patient Safety Goals**

#### **Symbol Key**

The organization has met the National Patient Safety Goal.

The organization has not met the National Patient Safety Goal.

The Goal is not applicable for this organization.

For further information and explanation of the Quality Report contents, refer to the "Quality Report User Guide."

## **Critical Access Hospital**

Safety Goals	Organizations Should	Implemented
Improve the accuracy of patient identification.	Use at least two patient identifiers when providing care, treatment or services.	Ø
Improve the effectiveness of communication among caregivers.	For verbal or telephone orders or for telephonic reporting of critical test results, verify the complete order or test result by having the person receiving the information record and "read-back" the complete order or test result.	Ø
	Standardize a list of abbreviations, acronyms, symbols, and dose designations that are not to be used throughout the organization.	Ø
	Measure, assess and, if appropriate, take action to improve the timeliness of reporting, and the timeliness of receipt by the responsible licensed caregiver, of critical test results and values.	Ø
	Implement a standardized approach to "hand off" communications, including an opportunity to ask and respond to questions.	Ø
Improve the safety of using medications.	Identify and, at a minimum, annually review a list of look-alike/sound-alike drugs used by the organization, and take action to prevent errors involving the interchange of these drugs.	Ø
	Label all medications, medication containers (for example, syringes, medicine cups, basins), or other solutions on and off the sterile field.	Ø
	Reduce the likelihood of patient harm associated with the use of anticoagulation therapy.	Ø
Reduce the risk of health care-associated infections.	Comply with current World Health Organization (WHO) Hand Hygiene Guidelines or Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) hand hygiene guidelines.	Ø
	Manage as sentinel events all identified cases of unanticipated death or major permanent loss of function associated with a health care-associated infection.	Ø
Accurately and completely reconcile medications across the continuum of care.	There is a process for comparing the patient's current medications with those ordered for the patient while under the care of the organization.	Ø
	A complete list of the patient's medications is communicated to the next provider of service when a patient is referred or transferred to another setting, service, practitioner or level of care within or outside the organization. The complete list of medications is also provided to the patient on discharge from the facility.	Ø
Reduce the risk of patient harm resulting from falls.	Implement a fall reduction program including an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program.	Ø
Encourage patients' active involvement in their own care as a patient safety strategy.	Define and communicate the means for patients and their families to report concerns about safety and encourage them to do so.	Ø
Improve recognition and response to changes in a patient's condition.	The organization selects a suitable method that enables health care staff members to directly request additional assistance from	Ø









## 2008 National Patient Safety Goals

#### **Symbol Key**

- The organization has met the National Patient Safety Goal.
  - The organization has not met the National Patient Safety Goal.
- The Goal is not applicable for this organization.

For further information and explanation of the Quality Report contents, refer to the "Quality Report User Guide."

## **Critical Access Hospital**

Safety Goals	Organizations Should	Implemented
	a specially trained individual(s) when the patient's condition appears to be worsening.	
Universal Protocol	Conduct a pre-operative verification process.	Ø
	Mark the operative site.	Ø
	Conduct a "time out" immediately before starting the procedure.	Ø







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

		Compared to other Joint Commission		
		Accredited Organizations		
Measure Area	Explanation	Nationwide	Statewide	
Heart Attack Care	This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Heart Attack (AMI) patients.	3	<b>№</b> 3	

		Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations			n	
		۸	lationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
ACE inhibitor or ARB for LVSD*	Heart attack patients who receive either a prescription for a medicine called an "ACE inhibitor" or a medicine called an angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) when they are discharged from the hospital. This measure reports what percent of heart attack patients who have problems with the heart pumping enough blood to the body were prescribed medicines to improve the heart's ability to pump blood.	4 ———	100%	96%	100%	94%
Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling*	Heart attack patients who are given advice about stopping smoking while they are in the hospital. This measure reports what percent of adult heart attack patients are provided advice and/or counseling to quit smoking. Smoking harms the heart, lungs and blood vessels and makes existing heart disease worse. Limitations of measure use - see Accreditation Quality Report User Guide.	3	100%	100%	100%	99%
Aspirin at arrival*	Heart attack patients receiving aspirin when arriving at the hospital. This measure reports what percent of heart attack patients receive aspirin within 24 hours before or after they arrive at the hospital. Aspirin is beneficial because it reduces the tendency of blood to clot in blood vessels of the heart and improves survival rates.	100% of 8 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	99%	100%	99%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- \* This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- --- Null value or data not displayed.

#### **Symbol Key**

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is
- below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

		Compared to other Joint Commission		
		Accredited Organizations		
Measure Area	Explanation	Nationwide	Statewide	
Heart Attack Care	This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Heart Attack (AMI) patients.	<b>⊚</b> <sup>3</sup>	<b>™</b> 3	

		Cor	npared to o Accredit	other Joint ed Organiz		n
		١	lationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Aspirin prescribed at discharge*	Heart attack patients who receive a prescription for aspirin when being discharged from the hospital. This measure reports how often aspirin was prescribed to heart attack patients when they are leaving a hospital. Aspirin is beneficial because it reduces the tendency of blood to clot in blood vessels of the heart and improves survival rates.	100% of 7 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	99%	100%	99%
Beta blocker prescribed at discharge*	Heart attack patients who have a medicine called a "beta blocker" prescribed when they are discharged from the hospital. This measure reports what percent of heart attack patients were prescribed a special type of medicine when leaving the hospital, that has been shown to reduce further heart damage.	100% of 9 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	98%	100%	99%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

#### below the target range/value. lot displayed

Footnote Key

**Symbol Key** 

ossible results

Ø

This organization achieved the best

This organization's performance is above the target range/value. This organization's performance is

similar to the target range/value. This organization's performance is

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough
- for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.









## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

## Symbol Kev

- This organization achieved the best ossible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- ot displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.

For further information and explanation of the Quality Report contents, refer to the "Quality Report User Guide."

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Compared to other Joint **Accredited Organizations** Nationwide Statewide

Measure Area Explanation Heart Attack Care This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Heart Attack (AMI) patients.

**№** 3



		Соі	mpared to c Accredit	other Joint ed Organiz		n
		1	Nationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Fibrinolytic therapy received within 30 minutes of hospital arrival*	Heart attack patients who receive a medicine that breaks up blood clots (fibrinolytic therapy) within 30 minutes of hospital arrival. This measure reports how quickly heart attack patients were given a medication that breaks up blood clots (fibrinolytic therapy). Breaking up blood clots increases blood flow to the heart. If blood flow is returned to the heart muscle quickly during a heart attack, the risk of death is decreased. The medicine that breaks up clots in the arteries and allows the return of normal blood flow is called fibrinolytic therapy and is used in certain types of heart attacks. It is important that this medicine be given quickly after a heart attack is diagnosed.	₩ <b>3</b> ———	100%	57%	3	3



The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.

- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

		Compared to other Joint Commission		
		Accredited Organizations		
Measure Area	Explanation	Nationwide	Statewide	
Heart Failure Care	This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Heart Failure (HF) patients.	3	<b>№</b> 3	

		Cor	npared to c			n
		Accredited Organizations Nationwide Statewide			wide	
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	
ACE inhibitor or ARB for LVSD*	Heart failure patients who receive either a prescription for a medicine called an "ACE inhibitor" or a medicine called an angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) when they are discharged from the hospital. This measure reports what percent of heart failure patients who have problems with the heart pumping enough blood to the body were prescribed medicines to improve the heart's ability to pump blood.	100% of 17 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	95%	100%	94%
Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling*	Heart failure patients who are given advice about stopping smoking while they are in the hospital. This measure reports what percent of adult heart failure patients are provided advice and/or counseling to quit smoking. Smoking harms the heart, lungs and blood vessels and makes existing heart disease worse. Limitations of measure use - see Accreditation Quality Report User Guide.	100% of 6 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	99%	100%	97%
Discharge instructions*	Heart failure patients who receive specific discharge instructions about their condition. This measure reports what percent of patients with heart failure are given information about their condition and care when they leave the hospital. Patient education about medicines, diet, activities, and signs to watch for is important in order to prevent further hospitalization. Limitations of measure use - see Accreditation Quality Report User Guide.	91% of 44 eligible Patients	100%	89%	100%	89%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- \* This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- --- Null value or data not displayed.

#### Symbol Key

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is
- below the target range/value.

  Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.





29 Lewis Avenue, Great Barrington, MA





## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

#### Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

		Compared to other Joint Commission		
		Accredited Organizations		
Measure Area	Explanation	Nationwide	Statewide	
Heart Failure Care	This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Heart Failure (HF) patients.	<b>№</b> 3	<b>№</b> 3	

		Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations				
		N	lationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results		Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
LVF assessment*	Heart failure patients who have had the function of the main pumping chamber of the heart (i.e., left ventricle) checked during their hospitalization. This measure reports what percent of patients with heart failure receive an in-depth evaluation of heart muscle function in order to get the right treatment for their heart failure. Limitations of measure use see Accreditation Quality Report User Guide.	100% of 56 eligible Patients	100%	98%	100%	99%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- \* This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- --- Null value or data not displayed.

#### **Symbol Key**

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- 7. The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Compared to	o other Joint
Comm	nission
Accredited C	rganizations
Nationwide	Statewide
////	7,770,22,700

Measure Area	Explanation	Nationwide	Statewide
Pneumonia Care	This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Pneumonia patients.	<b>⊕</b>	<b>⊕</b>

		Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations				
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:		ewide Average Rate:
Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling*	Pneumonia patients who are given advice about stopping smoking while they are in the hospital. This measure reports what percent of adult pneumonia patients are provided advice and/or counseling to quit smoking. Smoking harms the heart, lungs and blood vessels and makes existing disease worse.  Limitations of measure use - see Accreditation Quality Report User Guide.	100% of 16 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	98%	100%	96%
Blood cultures for pneumonia patients admitted through the Emergency Department.*	Pneumonia patients who were admitted through the Emergency Department who had a blood test in the Emergency Department for the presence of bacteria in their blood. Before antibiotics are given, blood samples are taken to test for the type of infection. This measure reports the percent of pneumonia patients admitted through the Emergency Department who received this test before antibiotics were given.	98% of 63 eligible Patients	100%	96%	99%	95%
Blood cultures for pneumonia patients in intensive care units.	Pneumonia patients cared for in an intensive care unit that had a blood test for the presence of bacteria in their blood within 24 hours of hospital arrival. This measure reports the percent of pneumonia patients in intensive care units who had a blood culture within 24 hours prior to or after hospital arrival.	100% of 11 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	96%	100%	94%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- \* This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- --- Null value or data not displayed.

#### **Symbol Key**

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Compared to other Joint **Accredited Organizations** 

Measure Area	Ελριατιατίστι	Nationwide	Olalewide
Pneumonia Care	This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Pneumonia patients.	<b>⊕</b>	<b>(</b>

	Compared to Accred					n
		١	lationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Initial antibiotic received within 6 hours of hospital arrival*	Pneumonia patients who are given an antibiotic within 6 hours of arriving at the hospital. This measure reports the percent of adult pneumonia patients who are given an antibiotic within 6 hours of arriving at the hospital. Limitations of measure usesee Accreditation Quality Report User Guide.	100% of 59 eligible Patients	100%	95%	99%	96%
Initial antibiotic selection for CAP in immunocompetent – ICU patient*	Patients in intensive care units who have community-acquired pneumonia who received the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) that has been shown to be effective for community-acquired pneumonia. This measure reports how often patients in intensive care units with community-acquired pneumonia were given the correct antibiotic within 24 hours of hospital arrival, based on recommendations from written guidelines, for the treatment of pneumonia.	<b>№</b> 3 ———	100%	71%	100%	69%
Initial antibiotic selection for CAP in immunocompetent – non ICU patient*	Patients not in intensive care units who have community-acquired pneumonia who received the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) that has been shown to be effective for community-acquired pneumonia. This measure reports how often patients with community-acquired pneumonia not cared for in intensive care units, were given the correct antibiotic within 24 hours of hospital arrival, based on recommendations from written guidelines, for the	100% of 38 eligible Patients	100%	95%	99%	96%

- treatment of pneumonia. The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

#### Symbol Kev

- This organization achieved the best ossible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is Ø similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is
- below the target range/value.
- ot displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.










## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Compared to other Joint
Commission
Accredited Organizations
Nationwide Statewide

Measure Area	Explanation	Nationwide	Statewide
Pneumonia Care	This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall quality of care provided to Pneumonia patients.	<b>⊕</b>	<b>⊕</b>

		Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations				
		l l	lationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Pneumococcal vaccination*	Pneumonia vaccination. This measure reports how many patients 65 years and older were screened and vaccinated to prevent pneumonia.	98% of 65 eligible Patients	100%	94%	99%	94%



\* This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov

--- Null value or data not displayed.

#### **Symbol Key**

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- 7. The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.





Measure Area

Pneumonia Care

29 Lewis Avenue, Great Barrington, MA





## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

#### Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Compared to other Joint Commission **Accredited Organizations** Nationwide Statewide Explanation This category of evidence based measures assesses the **(**  $\oplus$ overall quality of care provided to Pneumonia patients.

		Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations				n
		1	Nationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Pneumonia Seasonal Measure						
Reporting Period: October 2009 - M	larch 2010					
Influenza vaccination	Pneumonia patients in the hospital during flu season (October through March) who were given the influenza vaccination prior to leaving the hospital. This measure reports how often pneumonia patients in the hospital during the flu season were given flu vaccine if needed, prior to leaving the hospital.	100% of 42 eligible Patients	100%	92%	100%	92%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

#### Symbol Kev

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is Ø
- similar to the target range/value. This organization's performance is
- below the target range/value.
- ot displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.



29 Lewis Avenue, Great Barrington, MA





## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations

Measure Area Explanation Nationwide Statewide

SCIP - Cardiac This evidence based measure assesses continuation of

beta-blocker therapy in selected surgical patients.

Measure	Explanation			ed Organiz Average				
Surgery patients taking a Beta-Blocker before hospital admission who received a Beta-Blocker in the time frame of 24 hours before surgery through the time they were in the recovery room.	This measure reports the number of patients taking a Beta-Blocker medication before hospital admission who received a Beta-Blocker in the time frame of 24 hours before surgery through the time they were in the recovery room. Risk of complications is decreased when the Beta-Blocker is continued during the surgical time frame.	96% of 27 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	93%	100%	96%		

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- -- Null value or data not displayed.

## Symbol Key

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- 7. The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.



Measure Area

Prevention

SCIP - Infection





## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

prevention.

Compared to other Joint Commission **Accredited Organizations** 

Nationwide	Statewide
<b>⊕</b>	<b>⊕</b>

This category of evidence based measures assesses the



## Symbol Kev

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is Ø similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is
- below the target range/value. ot displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.

For further information and explanation of the Quality Report contents, refer to the "Quality Report User Guide."

Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations						n
		۸	lationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Patients having a surgery who received medicine to prevent infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut.*	This measure reports how often patients having surgery received medicine that prevents infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut. Infection is lowest when patients receive antibiotics to prevent infection within one hour before the skin is surgically cut. Note: Not every surgery requires antibiotics and this measure reports on those selected surgeries where evidence/experts have identified that antibiotics would be helpful.	100% of 55 eligible Patients	100%	97%	100%	97%
Patients having surgery who received the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) which is shown to be effective for the type of surgery performed.*	This measure reports how often patients who had surgery were given the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) that prevents infection which is know to be effective for the type of surgery, based upon the recommendations of experts around the country. Note: Not every surgery requires antibiotics and this measure reports on those selected surgeries where evidence/experts have identified that antibiotics would be helpful.	100% of 56 eligible Patients	100%	98%	100%	98%

Explanation

overall use of indicated antibiotics for surgical infection



- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Compared to other Joint Commission				
Accredited Organizations				
Nationwide	Statewide			
(10				

Measure Area	Explanation
SCIP - Infection	This category of evidence based measures assesses the
Prevention	overall use of indicated antibiotics for surgical infection
	prevention

•	•

			r Joint Commission			
			Accredit Nationwide	ed Organiz	ations State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Patients who had surgery and received appropriate medicine that prevents infection (antibiotic) and the antibiotic was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended.*	This measure reports how often surgery patients whose medicine (an antibiotic) to prevent infection was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended. Giving medicine that prevents infection for more than 24 hours after the end of surgery is not helpful, unless there is a specific reason (for example, fever or other signs of infection). Note: Not every surgery requires antibiotics and this measure reports on those selected surgeries where evidence/experts have identified that antibiotics would be helpful.	100% of 55 eligible Patients	99%	95%	99%	97%
Patients Having Colon/Large Intestine Surgery*	Overall report of hospital's performance on Surgical Infection Prevention Measures for Colon/Large Intestine Surgery.	100% of 33 eligible Patients	98%	91%	98%	93%
Patients having colon/large intestine surgery who received medicine to prevent infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut. *	This measure reports how often patients having colon/large intestine surgery received medicine that prevents infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut. Infection is lowest when patients receive antibiotics to prevent infection within one hour before the skin is surgically cut.	100% of 11 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	93%	100%	95%
Patients having colon/large intestine surgery who received the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) which is shown to be effective for this type of surgery.*	This measure reports how often patients who had colon/large intestine surgery were given the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) that prevents infection which is know to be effective for the type of surgery, based upon the recommendations of experts around the country.	100% of 11 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	90%	100%	92%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

## Symbol Kev

- This organization achieved the best ossible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is Ø
- similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.

Compared to other Joint







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

#### Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Measure Area Explanation Nationwide Statewide

SCIP - Infection
Prevention This category of evidence based measures assesses the overall use of indicated antibiotics for surgical infection prevention.

prevent	ion.					
		Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations			n	
		1	Nationwide			wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results		Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Patients who had colon/large intestine surgery and received appropriate medicine that prevents infection (antibiotic) and the antibiotic was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended.*	This measure reports how often colon/large intestine surgery patients whose medicine (an antibiotic) to prevent infection was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended. Giving medicine that prevents infection for more than 24 hours after the end of surgery is not helpful, unless there is a specific reason (for example, fever or other signs of infection).	100% of 11 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	88%	100%	92%
Patients Having Hip Joint Replacement Surgery*	Overall report of hospital's performance on Surgical Infection Prevention Measures for Hip Joint Replacement Surgery.	100% of 18 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	97%	100%	98%
Patients having hip joint replacement surgery who received medicine to prevent infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut.*	This measure reports how often patients having hip joint replacement surgery received medicine that prevents infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut. Infection is lowest when patients receive antibiotics to prevent infection within one hour before the skin is surgically cut.	100% of 6 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	97%	100%	97%
Patients having hip joint replacement surgery who received the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) which is shown to be effective for this type of surgery.*	This measure reports how often patients who had hip joint replacement surgery were given the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) that prevents infection which is know to be effective for the type of surgery, based upon the recommendations of experts around the country.	100% of 6 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	99%	100%	100%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- \* This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- ---- Null value or data not displayed.

#### Symbol Key

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- 2. The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- 7. The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.

Compared to other Joint







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

#### Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Commission **Accredited Organizations** Measure Area Nationwide Statewide Explanation SCIP - Infection This category of evidence based measures assesses the  $\oplus$  $\oplus$ Prevention overall use of indicated antibiotics for surgical infection prevention.

		Compared to other Joint Commission Accredited Organizations			n	
		N	Nationwide	ou organiz		wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results		Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	
Patients who had hip joint replacement surgery and received appropriate medicine that prevents infection (antibiotic) and the antibiotic was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended.*	This measure reports how often hip joint replacement surgery patients whose medicine (an antibiotic) to prevent infection was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended. Giving medicine that prevents infection for more than 24 hours after the end of surgery is not helpful, unless there is a specific reason (for example, fever or other signs of infection).	100% of 6 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	95%	100%	97%
Patients Having a Hysterectomy*	Overall report of hospital's performance on Surgical Infection Prevention Measure for Hysterectomy Surgery.	100% of 37 eligible Patients	100%	96%	100%	96%
Patients having hysterectomy surgery who received medicine to prevent infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut.*	This measure reports how often patients having hysterectomy surgery received medicine that prevents infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut. Infection is lowest when patients receive antibiotics to prevent infection within one hour before the skin is surgically cut.	100% of 12 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	97%	100%	98%
Patients having hysterectomy surgery who received the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) which is shown to be effective for this type of surgery.*	This measure reports how often patients who had hysterectomy surgery were given the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) that prevents infection which is know to be effective for the type of surgery, based upon the recommendations of experts around the country.	100% of 13 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	94%	100%	94%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

#### Symbol Kev

- This organization achieved the best ossible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is
- below the target range/value.
- ot displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.

Compared to other Joint







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

#### Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Commission **Accredited Organizations** Measure Area Nationwide Statewide Explanation SCIP - Infection This category of evidence based measures assesses the  $\oplus$  $\oplus$ overall use of indicated antibiotics for surgical infection Prevention prevention.

		Соі	mpared to o	other Joint ed Organiz		on
			Nationwide	ou organiz		wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results		Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	
Patients who had hysterectomy surgery and received appropriate medicine that prevents infection (antibiotic) and the antibiotic was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended.*	This measure reports how often hysterectomy surgery patients whose medicine (an antibiotic) to prevent infection was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended. Giving medicine that prevents infection for more than 24 hours after the end of surgery is not helpful, unless there is a specific reason (for example, fever or other signs of infection).	100% of 12 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	96%	100%	97%
Patients Having Knee Joint Replacement Surgery*	Overall report of hospital's performance on Surgical Infection Prevention Measures for Knee Joint Replacement Surgery.	100% of 78 eligible Patients	100%	98%	100%	98%
Patients having knee joint replacement surgery who received medicine to prevent infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut.*	This measure reports how often patients having knee joint replacement surgery received medicine that prevents infection (an antibiotic) within one hour before the skin was surgically cut. Infection is lowest when patients receive antibiotics to prevent infection within one hour before the skin is surgically cut.	100% of 26 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	98%	100%	98%
Patients having knee joint replacement surgery who received the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) which is shown to be effective for this type of surgery.*	This measure reports how often patients who had knee joint replacement surgery were given the appropriate medicine (antibiotic) that prevents infection which is know to be effective for the type of surgery, based upon the recommendations of experts around the country.	100% of 26 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	99%	100%	100%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

### Symbol Kev

- This organization achieved the best ossible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is Ø similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- ot displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.

Compared to other Joint









## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

#### Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Commission **Accredited Organizations** Measure Area Nationwide Statewide Explanation SCIP - Infection This category of evidence based measures assesses the  $\oplus$  $\oplus$ overall use of indicated antibiotics for surgical infection Prevention prevention.

		Cor	npared to o Accredit	other Joint ed Organiz		n
		١	lationwide		State	wide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Patients who had knee joint replacement surgery and received appropriate medicine that prevents infection (antibiotic) and the antibiotic was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended.*	This measure reports how often knee joint replacement surgery patients whose medicine (an antibiotic) to prevent infection was stopped within 24 hours after the surgery ended. Giving medicine that prevents infection for more than 24 hours after the end of surgery is not helpful, unless there is a specific reason (for example, fever or other signs of infection).	100% of 26 eligible Patients <sup>3</sup>	100%	96%	100%	97%
Surgery patients with proper hair removal.	This measure reports the number of surgical patients that have had hair at the site of the surgical cut removed properly. Infection is lowest when patients have hair removed with electrical clippers or hair removal cream.	100% of 80 eligible Patients	100%	100%	100%	100%

- - The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

## ot displayed

Footnote Key

Symbol Kev

ossible results

Ø

This organization achieved the best

This organization's performance is above the target range/value. This organization's performance is

similar to the target range/value.

below the target range/value.

This organization's performance is

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an
- overall result. The number of patients is not enough
- for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.







## **National Quality Improvement Goals**

Reporting Period: July 2009 - June 2010

Measure Area	Explanation
SCIP – Venous Thromboembolism (VTE)	This category of evidenced based measures assesses the use of indicated treatment for the prevention of blood clots in selected surgical patients

		Cor	npared to c Accredit	other Joint ed Organiz		on
		١	lationwide		State	ewide
Measure	Explanation	Hospital Results	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:	Top 10% Scored at Least:	Average Rate:
Patients having surgery who had treatment prescribed for the prevention of blood clots. Note: Treatment may be medication, stockings, or mechanical devices for exercising the legs.	This measure reports how often patients having surgery had treatment prescribed for the prevention of blood clots. The incidence of blood clots is lowest when patients are treated to prevent them. Note: Not every surgery requires treatment and this measure reports on those selected surgeries where evidence/experts have identified that treatment to prevent blood clots would be helpful.	97% of 33 eligible Patients	100%	94%	100%	97%
Patients having surgery who received the appropriate treatment to prevent blood clots which is shown to be effective for the type of surgery performed. Note: Treatment may be medication, stockings, or mechanical devices for exercising the legs.	This measure reports how often patients who had surgery were given the appropriate treatment that prevents blood clots which is known to be effective for the type of surgery, based upon the recommendations of experts around the country. Note: Not every surgery requires treatment and this measure reports on those selected surgeries where evidence/experts have identified that treatment to prevent blood clots would be helpful.	97% of 33 eligible Patients	99%	93%	100%	96%

- The Joint Commission only reports measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum.
- This information is part of the Hospital Quality Alliance. This information can also be viewed at www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov
- Null value or data not displayed.

### **Symbol Key**

- This organization achieved the best ossible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is Ø similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- lot displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically
- The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.







## **CMS Mortality Rates**

## **Critical Access Hospital**

Center for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) Hospital 30-Day Risk Adjusted Death (Mortality) compared to U.S. National Rate
The rates displayed in this table are from data reported for discharges July 2006 through June 2009
Last Updated: October 07, 2010

The U.S. National 30-day Death Rate from Heart Attack = 16%							
	Better Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is lower than U.S. rate)	No Different Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is about the same as U.S. rate or difference is uncertain)	Worse Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is higher than U.S. Rate)				
30-Day Death (Mortality) Rates from Heart Attack = <b>15.8%</b>	Not Available						
Number of Medicare Heart Attack	Patients = 37						
*	95 hospitals in the U.S. Better than U.S. National Rate		45 hospitals in the U.S. Worse than U.S. National Rate				
	1685 hospitals in the United States did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing						
Out of 65 hospitals in Massachusetts	9 hospitals in Massachusetts Better than U.S. National Rate	51 hospitals in Massachusetts No different than U.S. National Rate	0 hospitals in Massachusetts Worse than U.S. National Rate				
5 hospitals in Massachusetts did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are perf							

The U.S. National 30-day Death Rate from Heart Failure = 11%						
	Better Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is lower than U.S. rate)	No Different Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is about the same as U.S. rate or difference is uncertain)	Worse Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is higher than U.S. Rate)			
30-Day Death (Mortality) Rates from Heart Failure = <b>11.6%</b>	Not Available					
Number of Medicare Heart Failure	Patients = 68					
Out of 4743 hospitals in U.S.	199 hospitals in the U.S. Better than U.S. National Rate	3801 hospitals in the U.S. No different than U.S. National Rate	140 hospitals in the U.S. Worse than U.S. National Rate			
	603 hospitals in the United States did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing					
Out of 65 hospitals in Massachusetts	12 hospitals in Massachusetts Better than U.S. National Rate	0 hospitals in Massachusetts Worse than U.S. National Rate				
	hospitals in Massachusetts did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing					

The U.S. National 30-day Death Rate from Pneumonia = 12%								
	Better Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is lower than U.S. rate)	No Different Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is about the same as U.S. rate or difference is uncertain)	Worse Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is higher than U.S. Rate)					
30-Day Death (Mortality) Rates from Pneumonia = <b>14.7%</b>	Not Available							
Number of Medicare Pneumonia F	Patients = 137							

and explanation of the Quality Report contents, refer to the "Quality Report User Guide."

For further information







## **CMS Mortality Rates**

## **Critical Access Hospital**

Center for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) Hospital 30-Day Risk Adjusted Death (Mortality) compared to U.S. National Rate The rates displayed in this table are from data reported for discharges July 2006 through June 2009 Last Updated: October 07, 2010 Out of 4788 hospitals in U.S. 222 hospitals in the U.S. Better 3988 hospitals in the U.S. No 221 hospitals in the U.S. Worse than U.S. National Rate different than U.S. National Rate than U.S. National Rate 357 hospitals in the United States did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are Out of 66 hospitals in 13 hospitals in Massachusetts 49 hospitals in Massachusetts No 1 hospitals in Massachusetts Massachusetts Better than U.S. National Rate different than U.S. National Rate | Worse than U.S. National Rate

These percentages were calculated by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services from Medicare data on patients discharged July 2006 through June 2009. They do not include people in Medicare Advantage (Managed care) plans or people who do not have Medicare.

3 hospitals in Massachusetts did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing

For technical information on 30 Day Risk Adjusted Mortality measures please see user guides.







## **CMS Readmission Rates**

## **Critical Access Hospital**

Center for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) Hospital 30 Day Rate of Readmission compared to U.S. National Rate
The rates displayed in this table are from data reported for discharges July 2006 through June 2009
Last Updated: October 07, 2010

The U.S. National Rate for Readmissions for Heart Attack Patients = 20%									
	Better Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted readmissions are lower than U.S. rate)	No Different Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted readmissions are about the same as U.S. rate or difference is uncertain)	Worse Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is higher than U.S. Rate)						
30 Day Hospital Readmission Rates from Heart Attack Patients = 19.2%	1 1001114114010								
Number of Medicare Heart Attack	Patients = 25								
Out of 4476 hospitals in U.S.	29 hospitals in the U.S. Better than U.S. National Rate 1999 hospitals in the United States performing	2403 hospitals in the U.S. No different than U.S. National Rate than U.S. National Rate than U.S. National Rate as did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are							
Out of 64 hospitals in Massachusetts	0 hospitals in Massachusetts Better than U.S. National Rate	53 hospitals in Massachusetts No different than U.S. National Rate of have enough cases to reliably tell	4 hospitals in Massachusetts Worse than U.S. National Rate how well they are performing						

The U.S. National Rate for Readmissions for Heart Failure Patients = 25%								
	Better Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted readmissions are lower than U.S. rate)	No Different Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted readmissions are about the same as U.S. rate or difference is uncertain)	Worse Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is higher than U.S. Rate)					
30 Day Hospital Readmission Rates from Heart Failure Patients = 24.3%	Not Available							
Number of Medicare Heart Failure	Patients = 82							
Out of 4759 hospitals in U.S.	147 hospitals in the U.S. Better than U.S. National Rate	3869 hospitals in the U.S. No different than U.S. National Rate	193 hospitals in the U.S. Worse than U.S. National Rate					
	550 hospitals in the United States did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing							
Out of 65 hospitals in Massachusetts	2 hospitals in Massachusetts Better than U.S. National Rate	56 hospitals in Massachusetts No different than U.S. National Rate	4 hospitals in Massachusetts Worse than U.S. National Rate					
	3 hospitals in Massachusetts did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing							

The U.S. National Rate for Readmissions for Pneumonia Patients = 18%									
	Better Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted readmissions are lower than U.S. rate)	No Different Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted readmissions are about the same as U.S. rate or difference is uncertain)	Worse Than U.S. National Rate (Adjusted mortality is higher than U.S. Rate)						
30 Day Hospital Readmission Rates from Pneumonia Patients = <b>18.7%</b>	Not Available								





29 Lewis Avenue, Great Barrington, MA





## **CMS Readmission Rates**

#### Symbol Key

- This organization achieved the best possible results
- This organization's performance is above the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is similar to the target range/value.
- This organization's performance is below the target range/value.
- Not displayed

#### Footnote Key

- The Measure or Measure Set was not reported.
- The Measure Set does not have an overall result.
- The number of patients is not enough for comparison purposes.
- The measure meets the Privacy Disclosure Threshold rule.
- The organization scored above 90% but was below most other organizations.
- The Measure results are not statistically valid.
- 7. The Measure results are based on a sample of patients.
- The number of months with Measure data is below the reporting requirement.
- The measure results are temporarily suppressed pending resubmission of updated data.

For further information and explanation of the Quality Report contents, refer to the "Quality Report User Guide."

## **Critical Access Hospital**

Center for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) Hospital 30 Day Rate of Readmission compared to U.S. National Rate
The rates displayed in this table are from data reported for discharges July 2006 through June 2009
Last Updated: October 07, 2010

Last Updated: October 07, 2010									
Number of Medicare Pneumonia Patients = 138									
Out of 4813 hospitals in U.S.	64 hospitals in the U.S. Better than U.S. National Rate	163 hospitals in the U.S. Worse than U.S. National Rate							
	363 hospitals in the United States did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing								
Out of 66 hospitals in Massachusetts			4 hospitals in Massachusetts Worse than U.S. National Rate						
	3 hospitals in Massachusetts did not have enough cases to reliably tell how well they are performing								

These percentages were calculated by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services from Medicare data on patients discharged July 2006 through June 2009. They do not include people in Medicare Advantage (Managed care) plans or people who do not have Medicare.

For technical information on 30 Day Readmission Rates please see user guides.









## **Survey of Patients' Hospital Experiences**

Footnote Key
Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these rates with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.
This displays less than 12 months of accurate data.
Survey results are not available for this period.
No patients were eligible for the HCAHPS Survey.

				_	_					
	Survey Da	ite Range		Number of	Completed Sur	veys	Survey Resp	oonse Rate		
Januar	ry 2009 throu	gh December 20	009	30	00 or More		40	%		
Question Explanation										
How often did doctors communicate well with patients?  Patients reported how often their doctors communicated well with them during their hospital stay. "Communicated well" means doctors explained things clearly, listened carefully to the patient, and treated the patient with courtesy and respect.										
Doctors "always" communicated well Doctors "usually					unicated well		s "sometimes" ommunicated			
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospita Rate	l State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average		
81%	79%	80%	15%	16%	15%	4%	5%	5%		
Question				Explanation						
How ofter with patie		communicate we	ell	them during t	rted how often their hospital staings clearly, listient with court	y. "Communi tened caref	cated well" me ully to the pat	eans nurses		
Nurses "al	lways" comm	unicated well	Nurses	s "usually" communicated well Nu			urses "sometimes" or "never" communicated well			
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospita Rate	ıl State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average		
84%	78%	75%	13%	17%	19%	3%	5%	6%		
Question				Explanation						
How often did patients receive help quickly from hospital staff?  Patients reported how often they were helped quickly when they used the call button or needed help in getting to the bathroom or using a bedpan.										
	'always" rece on as they wa		Patien	ts "usually" rece soon as they wa			s "sometimes" elp as soon a	or "never" s they wanted		
Hospital	State	National	Hospita	ıl State	National	Hospital	State	National		

from hosp	oital staff?		Ó	or <b>using a bedpan</b> .					
Patients "always" received help as P soon as they wanted				Patients "usually" received help as soon as they wanted			Patients "sometimes" or "never" received help as soon as they wanted		
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	
79%	63%	63%	17%	26%	26%	4%	11%	11%	





73%

61%

60%

15%

19%

18%

12%

20%

22%





## **Survey of Patients' Hospital Experiences**

# Footnote Key 1. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these rates with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance. 2. This displays less than 12 months of

- This displays less than 12 months of accurate data.
- 3. Survey results are not available for this period.
- 4. No patients were eligible for the HCAHPS Survey.

Survey Date Range				Number of	Number of Completed Surveys			Survey Response Rate	
Januar	y 2009 throug	gh December 2	009	30	300 or More 40%			%	
Question				Explanation					
How ofter controlled	n was patients ?	s' pain well		If patients needed medicine for pain during their hospital stay, the survey asked how often their pain was well controlled. "Well controlled" means their pain was well controlled and that the hospital staff did everything they could to help patients with their pain.					
Pain was "always" well controlled Pain v				was "usually" we	Il controlled	Pain was	"sometimes" controlled	or "never" well	
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospita Rate	al State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	
76%	71%	69%	19%	22%	24%	5%	7%	7%	
Question				Explanation					
How often did staff explain about medicines before giving them to patients?  If patients were given medicine that they had not taken before, the survey asked how often staff explained about the medicine.  "Explaination"  If patients were given medicine that they had not taken before, the survey asked how often staff explained about the medicine was "Explained" means that hospital staff told what the medicine was for and what side effects it might have before they gave it to the patient.						cine. dicine was			
Staff "always" explained				Staff "usually" ex	plained	Staff	"sometimes" c explained	or "never"	
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospita Rate	al State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	





Hospital Rate

88%

State Average

85%

National Average

81%





## **Survey of Patients' Hospital Experiences**

## Footnote Key Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these rates with caution, as the number of surveys may

- be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.
- This displays less than 12 months of accurate data.
- 3. Survey results are not available for this period.
- No patients were eligible for the HCAHPS Survey.

For further information and explanation of the **Quality Report contents,** refer to the "Quality Report User Guide."

	Number of Completed Surveys Survey Response				ponse Rate				
Januar		300 or More 40%				%			
Question Explanation									
How often were the patients' rooms and bathrooms kept clean?  Patients reported how often their hospital room and bathroom were kept clean.									
Roon	n was "always	s" clean	R	oom was "u	oom was "usually" clean Room was "sometime clean			s" or "never"	
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospita Rate	al Stat Avera		National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average
83%	71%	70%	14%	21%	6	20%	3%	8%	10%
	n was the area ot quiet at nig	a around patien ht?	ts'	Explanation Patients quiet at	repor	ted how often <b>t</b> :.	he area ar	ound their roo	m was
"Alv	ways" quiet at	t night		"Usually" qเ	uiet at	night	"Sometir	nes" or "never"	quiet at night
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospita Rate	al Stat Avera		National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average
58%	51%	57%	33%	33%	6	31%	9%	16%	12%
Question				Explanation	n				
The survey asked patients about information they were given when they were ready to leave the hospital. Patients reported whether hospital staff had discussed the help they would need at home. Patients also reported whether they were given written information about symptoms or health problems to watch for during their recovery.									
Yes	s, staff did giv	e patients this i	nformatio	n		No, staff did	not give pa	atients this info	mation

Hospital Rate

12%

National Average

19%

State Average

15%









## **Survey of Patients' Hospital Experiences**

# Footnote Key 1. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these rates with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

- 2. This displays less than 12 months of accurate data.
- 3. Survey results are not available for this period.
- 4. No patients were eligible for the HCAHPS Survey.

Survey Date Range				Number of Completed Surveys			Survey Response Rate		
Januar	y 2009 throug	gh December 20	009	300 or More 40%			%		
Question				Explanation					
How do p	atients rate th	ne hospital over	all?	After answering all other questions on the survey, <b>patients</b> answered a separate question that asked for an overall rating of the hospital. Ratings were on a scale from 0 to 10, where "0" means "worst hospital possible" and "10" means "best hospital possible."					
Patients who gave a rating of 9 or 10 Patien (high)				who gave a ra (medium)	Patients	Patients who gave a rating of 6 or lower (low)			
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	
81%	67%	66%	16%	25%	25%	3%	8%	9%	
•		nend the hospita		•	sked patients <b>w</b>	•	would recom	nmend the	
friends ar	d family?			hospital to th	eir friends and t	family.			
				S, patients would probably ecommend the hospital the hospital or definitely wo				bly would not	
Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	Hospital Rate	State Average	National Average	
88%	73%	69%	10%	23%	25%	2%	4%	6%	